

# Relational Computation of Sets of Relations

**Rudolf Berghammer**

Institut für Informatik  
Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

RAMiCS 2021

November 2021

# Introduction

The use of ROBDDs often leads to an amazing computational power of RELVIEW, in particular, if the solution of a hard problem is based on the computation of a subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of a powerset  $2^X$ .

In certain situations  $X$  is a direct product  $Y \times Z$ , which means that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a subset of  $[Y \leftrightarrow Z]$ , i.e., a set of relations.

Examples:

- Solutions of a timetabling problem (B. Kehden, R.B).
- Computation of the set of up-closed multirelations on a given set (W. Guttmann).
- Computational proof of a variant of the Kuratowski closure-complement-theorem (R. B.).
- Experiments with sufficient criteria for the existence of kernels (R. B.).

We present a general technique for the relational computation of sets  $\mathcal{R}$  of relations.

It is based on a specification of a relation  $R$  to belong to  $\mathcal{R}$  by means of an inclusion  $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ , where  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $\mathfrak{t}$  are **relation-algebraic expressions constructed** from the vector model  $s := \text{vec}(R)$  of  $R$  in a specific way.

To get the specification  $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ , we frequently apply properties of the mapping  $\text{vec}$  (last talk) and its inverse mapping  $\text{Rel}$ .

The desired computation of  $\mathcal{R}$  via a relation-algebraic expression  $\mathfrak{t}$  is then obtained from  $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$  in **one step**.

Compared with the direct development of  $\mathfrak{t}$  from a logical specification of the relation  $R$  to belong to  $\mathcal{R}$ , the proposed **technique is much simpler**.

# Column-wise Extendible Vector Expressions

Decisive for the correctness of the step from  $s \subseteq t$  to  $\tau$  is the **syntactic structure** of both sides of the inclusion.

**Definition:** For  $s : X \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ , the set  $\mathfrak{V}(s)$  of **typed column-wise extendible vector expression** over  $s$  is inductively defined as follows:

- We have  $s \in \mathfrak{V}(s)$  and its type is  $X \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ .
- If  $v : Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ , then  $v \in \mathfrak{V}(s)$  and its type is  $Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ .
- If  $t \in \mathfrak{V}(s)$  has type  $Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ , then  $\bar{t} \in \mathfrak{V}(s)$  and its type is  $Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ .
- If  $t, u \in \mathfrak{V}(s)$  have type  $Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ , then  $t \cup u \in \mathfrak{V}(s)$ ,  $t \cap u \in \mathfrak{V}(s)$  and their types are  $Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ .
- If  $t \in \mathfrak{V}(s)$  has type  $Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a relation-algebraic expression of type  $Z \leftrightarrow Y$  free of  $s$ , then  $\mathfrak{R};t \in \mathfrak{V}(s)$  and its type is  $Z \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ .

**Examples:**

$$s \quad R^T; (S; s \cup v) \quad \llbracket s, R; s \rrbracket$$

In a column-wise extendible vector expression over  $s$  the vector  $s$  can be seen as a variable in the logical sense. Using this interpretation, next we define how to replace  $s$  by a relation of appropriate type.

**Definition:** For  $s : X \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ ,  $s \in \mathfrak{R}(s)$  and  $R : X \leftrightarrow Z$  we define  $s[R/s]$  of type  $X \leftrightarrow Z$  inductively as follows:

- $s[R/s] = R$ .
- $v[R/s] = v;L$ , with  $L : \mathbf{1} \leftrightarrow Z$ .
- $\bar{t}[R/s] = \overline{t[R/s]}$ ,
- $(t \cup u)[R/s] = t[R/s] \cup u[R/s]$  and  $(t \cap u)[R/s] = t[R/s] \cap u[R/s]$ .
- $(\mathfrak{R};t)[R/s] = \mathfrak{R};(t[R/s])$ .

**Examples:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 s[M/s] &= M \\
 R^T;(S;s \cup v)[M/s] &= R^T;(S;M \cup v;L) \\
 [s, R;s][M/s] &= [M, R;M]
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall the mapping

$$\text{vec} : [X \leftrightarrow Y] \rightarrow [X \times Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}] \quad \text{vec}(R) = \llbracket R, \mathbf{l} \rrbracket; L.$$

Together with the mapping (with  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  as projection relations of  $X \times Y$ )

$$\text{Rel} : [X \times Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}] \rightarrow [X \leftrightarrow Y] \quad \text{Rel}(s) = \pi^T; (s; L \cap \rho)$$

it forms a Boolean lattice isomorphism between  $[X \leftrightarrow Y]$  and  $[X \times Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}]$ .

**Theorem 1.** Assume the subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $[X \leftrightarrow Y]$  to be specified as

$$\mathcal{R} = \{ \text{Rel}(s) \mid s : X \times Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1} \wedge s \subseteq t \},$$

where  $s, t \in \mathfrak{Q}(s)$  have type  $Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ . By means of  $\mathbf{M} : X \times Y \leftrightarrow [X \leftrightarrow Y]$  and  $L : \mathbf{1} \leftrightarrow Y$  we get a vector  $\tau : [X \leftrightarrow Y] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  that models the set  $\mathcal{R}$  as

$$\tau := \overline{L; (s[\mathbf{M}/s] \cap t[\mathbf{M}/s])^T}.$$

Theorem 1 is a specialisation of a more general theorem of R. B. (MPC 2015) to the case that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a set of relations.

Given the subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $[X \leftrightarrow Y]$ , the development of a vector

$$\tau : [X \leftrightarrow Y] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$$

that models the set  $\mathcal{R}$  consists of **three steps**:

1. Take an arbitrary vector  $s : X \times Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ .
2. Develop an inclusion  $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$  such that:

$$\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{V}(s) \quad \mathfrak{t} \in \mathfrak{V}(s) \quad \text{Rel}(s) \in \mathcal{R} \iff \mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}.$$

3. Define:

$$\tau := \overline{L; (\mathfrak{s}[\mathbf{M}/s] \cap \overline{\mathfrak{t}[\mathbf{M}/s]})^T}.$$

## Helpful Properties for the Second Step

**Theorem 2.** (B. Kehden) For all  $Q, R$  and  $S$  we have

$$\text{vec}(Q;R;S) = (Q \parallel S^T); \text{vec}(R)$$

**Theorem 3.** (M. Winter) Assume  $R : X \leftrightarrow X$  and  $S : X \leftrightarrow X$  and let  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  be the projection relations of  $X^2$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  those of  $X^2 \times X^2$ . Define  $C : X^2 \times X^2 \leftrightarrow X^2$  as

$$C := (I \cap \alpha; \rho; \pi^T; \beta^T); (\pi \parallel \rho).$$

Then we have

$$\text{vec}(R;S) = C^T; [\text{vec}(R), \text{vec}(S)].$$

**Theorem 4.** Assume  $R : X \leftrightarrow X$  and let  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  be the projection relations of  $X^2$ . Then we have

$$\text{vec}(R^T) = [\rho, \pi]; \text{vec}(R).$$



## Example: Transitive Relations

Assume  $s : X^2 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  to be given. With  $C : X^2 \times X^2 \leftrightarrow X^2$  as introduced in Theorem 3 we have:

Rel( $s$ ) transitive

$$\iff \text{Rel}(s); \text{Rel}(s) \subseteq \text{Rel}(s) \quad \text{def. trans.}$$

$$\iff \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s); \text{Rel}(s)) \subseteq \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)) \quad \text{isom.}$$

$$\iff C^T; [\text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)), \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s))] \subseteq \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)) \quad \text{Theorem 3}$$

$$\iff C^T; [s, s] \subseteq s \quad \text{isom.}$$

As  $C^T; [s, s] \in \mathfrak{B}(s)$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{B}(s)$  Theorem 1 yields

$$\text{trans}(X) := \overline{L; (C^T; [M, M] \cap \overline{M})^T}$$

as relational specification of a vector  $\text{trans}(X) : [X \leftrightarrow X] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  that models the set of transitive relations on  $X$ .

RELVIEW-implementation of  $\text{trans}(X)$ , where the auxiliary program C computes the relation C:

```
C(pi, rho, alpha, beta)
  DECL A
  BEG  A = alpha*rho*pi^*beta^
      RETURN (I(A) & A)*par(pi, rho)
  END.
```

```
Trans(X)
  DECL pi, rho, alpha, beta, MM, A, L
  BEG  pi = pr1(X,X);
      rho = pr2(X,X);
      alpha = pr1(pi*pi^, pi*pi^);
      beta = pr2(pi*pi^, pi*pi^);
      MM = epsi(pi);
      A = C(pi, rho, alpha, beta)^*[|M, M];
      L = Ln1(A)
      RETURN -(L^(A & -MM))^
  END.
```

A **direct development** (R.B., RAMiCS 2017) with  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  projection relations of  $X^2$ :

$$\forall x, y, z : R_{x,y} \wedge R_{y,z} \Rightarrow R_{x,z}$$

$$\iff \forall u, v : R_{u_1, u_2} \wedge R_{v_1, v_2} \wedge u_2 = v_1 \Rightarrow R_{u_1, v_2}$$

$$\iff \neg \exists u, v : u_2 = v_1 \wedge R_{u_1, u_2} \wedge R_{v_1, v_2} \wedge \neg R_{u_1, v_2}$$

$$\iff \neg \exists u, v, w :$$

$$u_2 = v_1 \wedge R_{u_1, u_2} \wedge R_{v_1, v_2} \wedge u_1 = w_1 \wedge v_2 = w_2 \wedge \neg R_{w_1, w_2}$$

$$\iff \neg \exists u, v :$$

$$u_2 = v_1 \wedge R_{u_1, u_2} \wedge R_{v_1, v_2} \wedge \exists w : (\pi; \pi^T)_{u,w} \wedge (\rho; \rho^T)_{v,w} \wedge \neg R_{w_1, w_2}$$

$$\iff \neg \exists u, v :$$

$$(\rho; \pi^T)_{u,v} \wedge \mathbf{M}_{u,R} \wedge \mathbf{M}_{v,R} \wedge \exists w : \llbracket \pi; \pi^T, \rho; \rho^T \rrbracket_{(u,v),w} \wedge \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{w,R}$$

$$\iff \neg \exists u, v :$$

$$\text{vec}(\rho; \pi^T)_{(u,v)} \wedge \llbracket \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M} \rrbracket_{(u,v),R} \wedge (\llbracket \pi; \pi^T, \rho; \rho^T \rrbracket; \overline{\mathbf{M}})_{(u,v),R}$$

$$\iff \neg \exists u, v :$$

$$\text{vec}(\rho; \pi^T)_{(u,v)} \wedge (\llbracket \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M} \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \pi; \pi^T, \rho; \rho^T \rrbracket; \overline{\mathbf{M}})_{(u,v),R}$$

$$\iff \overline{\text{vec}(\rho; \pi^T)^T; (\llbracket \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M} \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \pi; \pi^T, \rho; \rho^T \rrbracket; \overline{\mathbf{M}})_{\perp, R}}$$

A vector  $\text{trans}\mathcal{D}(X) : [X \leftrightarrow X] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  that models the set of transitive relations on  $X$  resultation from this development (with  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  as projection relations of  $X^2$ ):

$$\text{trans}\mathcal{D}(X) = \overline{\text{vec}(\rho; \pi^T)^T; ([\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}] \cap [\pi; \pi^T, \rho; \rho^T]; \overline{\mathbf{M}})^T}$$

Running times of RELVIEW-programs (PC mentioned in the last talk):

| $ X $ | $\text{trans}(X)$ | $\text{trans}\mathcal{D}(X)$ |
|-------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| 1     | 0.0011            | 0.0007                       |
| 2     | 0.0084            | 0.0040                       |
| 3     | 0.0114            | 0.0166                       |
| 4     | 0.0139            | 0.0172                       |
| 5     | 0.0141            | 0.0264                       |
| 6     | 0.3239            | 0.9037                       |
| 7     | 259.1000          | 356.8000                     |

## Example: Irreflexive and Symmetric Relations

Assume  $s : X^2 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  to be given. With  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  as projection relations of  $X^2$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rel}(s) \text{ irreflexive} &\iff \text{Rel}(s) \subseteq \bar{\mathbf{I}} && \text{def. irr.} \\ &\iff \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)) \subseteq \text{vec}(\bar{\mathbf{I}}) && \text{isom.} \\ &\iff s \subseteq \overline{\text{vec}(\mathbf{I})} && \text{isom.} \\ \text{Rel}(s) \text{ symmetric} &\iff \text{Rel}(s) \subseteq \text{Rel}(s)^T && \text{def. symm..} \\ &\iff \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)) \subseteq \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)^T) && \text{isom.} \\ &\iff \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)) \subseteq [\rho, \pi]; \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)) && \text{Theorem 4} \\ &\iff s \subseteq [\rho, \pi]; s && \text{isom.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 yields the following vectors  $\text{irrefl}(X), \text{symm}(X) : [X \leftrightarrow X] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  that model the set of irreflexive resp. symmetric relations on  $X$ :

$$\text{irrefl}(X) := \overline{\mathbf{L}; (\mathbf{M} \cap \text{vec}(\mathbf{I}); \mathbf{L})^T}$$

$$\text{symm}(X) := \overline{\mathbf{L}; (\mathbf{M} \cap [\rho, \pi]; \mathbf{M})^T}$$

## Example: Functions and Homomorphisms

Assume  $s : X^2 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  to be given. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rel}(s) \text{ univalent} &\iff \text{Rel}(s)^T; \text{Rel}(s) \subseteq I \\ &\iff \text{Rel}(s); \bar{I} \subseteq \overline{\text{Rel}(s)} && \text{Schröder} \\ &\iff \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s); \bar{I}) \subseteq \overline{\text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s))} && \text{isom.} \\ &\iff \text{vec}(I; \text{Rel}(s); \bar{I}) \subseteq \overline{\text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s))} && \text{isom.} \\ &\iff (I \parallel \bar{I}); \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)) \subseteq \overline{\text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s))} && \text{Theorem 2} \\ &\iff (I \parallel \bar{I}); s \subseteq \bar{s} && \text{isom.} \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1 we get the relational specification

$$\text{unival}(X, Y) := \overline{L; ((I \parallel \bar{I}); M \cap M)^T}$$

of a vector  $\text{unival}(X, Y) : [X \leftrightarrow Y] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  that models the set of univalent relations of type  $X \leftrightarrow Y$ .

Similarly Theorem 2 yields ( $\pi$  is the first projection relation of  $X \times Y$ )

$$\text{Rel}(s) \text{ total} \iff L \subseteq \pi^T; s.$$

From Theorem 1 we get the following vector  $\text{total}(X, Y) : [X \leftrightarrow Y] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  that models the set of total relations of type  $X \leftrightarrow Y$ :

$$\text{total}(X, Y) := \overline{\overline{L; \pi^T; \mathbf{M}}^T}$$

Intersection yields the following vector  $\text{function}(X, Y) : [X \leftrightarrow Y] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  that models the set of functions type  $X \leftrightarrow Y$ :

$$\text{function}(X, Y) := \text{unival}(X, Y) \cap \text{total}(X, Y)$$

Given relations  $R : X \leftrightarrow X$  and  $S : Y \leftrightarrow Y$  a relation  $F : X \leftrightarrow Y$  is a **homomorphism** from  $R$  to  $S$  if it is a function and  $R;F \subseteq F;S$ .

Assume  $R, S$  and  $s : X \times Y \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  to be given. Then we have:

$$R; \text{Rel}(s) \subseteq \text{Rel}(s); S$$

$$\iff \text{vec}(R; \text{Rel}(s); \mathbf{1}) \subseteq \text{vec}(\mathbf{1}; \text{Rel}(s); S) \quad \text{isom.}$$

$$\iff (R \parallel \mathbf{1}); \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)) \subseteq (\mathbf{1} \parallel S^T); \text{vec}(\text{Rel}(s)) \quad \text{Theorem 2}$$

$$\iff (R \parallel \mathbf{1}); s \subseteq (\mathbf{1} \parallel S^T); s \quad \text{isom.}$$

Combining Theorem 1 with the vector function  $(X, Y)$  we get

$$\text{hom}(R, S) := \text{function}(X, Y) \cap \overline{\mathbf{L}; ((R \parallel \mathbf{1}); \mathbf{M} \cap \overline{(\mathbf{1} \parallel S^T); \mathbf{M}})^T}$$

as relational specification of a of a vector  $\text{hom}(R, S) : [X \leftrightarrow Y] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  that models the set of homomorphisms from  $R$  to  $S$ .



# An Application

In 1969 D. Scott presented a complete lattice  $(D, \leq)$  that is isomorphic to the complete lattice  $([D \rightarrow D], \sqsubseteq)$  of continuous functions on  $D$ .

$(D, \leq)$  is the inverse limit of a **retraction sequence**  $(X_n, \leq_n, \varphi_n, \psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of complete lattices, where:

- $X_0 := \{\perp, \top\}$  and  $\perp \leq_0 \top$ .
- $X_{n+1} := (D \rightarrow D)$  and  $\leq_{n+1} :=$  function order induced by  $\leq_n$ .

In a textbook J. Stoy

- describes the construction in detail,
- presents  $(X_1, \leq_1)$  and  $(X_2, \leq_2)$ ,
- states that  $|X_3| = 120\,549$ .

We have used RELVIEW

- to verify this number
- even to compute  $\leq_3$  with 1 805 247 020 pairs as a ROBDD with 2 500 126 nodes.

Decisive for that is the following relation-algebraic specification of the **function order**.

We need the **injective embedding**  $\text{inj}(v)$  induced by a vector  $v : X \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ . It is the identity function from  $V$  to  $X$ , where  $V$  is the set modeled by  $v$ .

**Theorem 5** Let  $R : X \leftrightarrow X$  be a partial order,  $\text{hom}(R, R) : [X \leftrightarrow X] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1}$  be the vector that models the set  $(X \rightarrow X)$  of  $R$ -monotone functions on  $X$  and  $F : (X \rightarrow X) \leftrightarrow (X \rightarrow X)$  be the function order on  $(X \rightarrow X)$  induced by  $R$ . Then we have:

$$F = \overline{\mathbf{N}^T};(R \parallel \overline{R});\mathbf{N} \text{ where } \mathbf{N} := \mathbf{M};\text{inj}(\text{hom}(R, R))^T.$$

Relation  $\mathbf{N} : X^2 \leftrightarrow (X \rightarrow X)$  relates  $u$  and  $f$  iff  $f_{u_1, u_2}$ , i.e., iff  $f(u_1) = u_2$ .

The proof: Assume arbitrary  $f, g \in (X \rightarrow X)$ . Then we have (where  $u$  and  $v$  range over  $X^2$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{f,g} &\iff \forall u, v : f(u_1) = u_2 \wedge g(v_1) = v_2 \wedge R_{u_1, v_1} \Rightarrow R_{u_2, v_2} \\
 &\iff \forall u, v : f_{u_1, u_2} \wedge g_{v_1, v_2} \wedge R_{u_1, v_1} \Rightarrow R_{u_2, v_2} \\
 &\iff \neg \exists u, v : f_{u_1, u_2} \wedge g_{v_1, v_2} \wedge R_{u_1, v_1} \wedge \overline{R}_{u_2, v_2} \\
 &\iff \neg \exists u, v : f_{u_1, u_2} \wedge (R \parallel \overline{R})_{u, v} \wedge g_{v_1, v_2} \\
 &\iff \neg \exists u : \mathbf{N}_{u, f} \wedge \exists v : (R \parallel \overline{R})_{u, v} \wedge \mathbf{N}_{v, g} \\
 &\iff \neg \exists u : \mathbf{N}_{f, u}^T \wedge ((R \parallel \overline{R}); \mathbf{N})_{u, g} \\
 &\iff \overline{\mathbf{N}; (R \parallel \overline{R}); \mathbf{N}^T}_{f, g}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $F = \overline{\mathbf{N}^T; (R \parallel \overline{R}); \mathbf{N}}$ .

# Conclusion

RELVIEW supports work with relations in a number of ways.

But the tool **only is able to treat set-theoretic relations on finite carrier sets.**

For this reason **results of experiments that seem to confirm an abstract relation-algebraic property are to handle with some care.**

- It may happen that the considered property holds for all set-theoretic relations but not in abstract relation algebras.
- It may happen that the considered property holds for all finite set-theoretic relations but not for infinite ones.

**But, fortunately, such situations are rare.**